澳門理工大學
Universidade Politécnica de Macau
Macao Polytechnic University

FACULTY OF HEALTH SCIENCES AND SPORTS

BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY (PHARMACY TECHNOLOGY)

LEARNING MODULE OUTLINE

Academic Year	2025/2026	Semester	2
Module Code	ENGL 4102		
Learning Module	English VIII		
Pre-requisite(s)	Nil		
Medium of Instruction	English		
Credits	2	Contact Hours	30
Instructor	Gabriel Roth Soares	Email	T1339@mpu.edu.mo
Office	NA	Mobile Phone	

MODULE DESCRIPTION

The aims of this course are to improve the students' knowledge of health-related vocabulary, develop students' reading skills and ability to speak in the language, as appropriate to the level.

MODULE INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES (ILOS)

On completion of this learning module, students will be able to:

M1.	Increase their vocabulary relevant to their field of study
M2.	Comprehend audio materials/information related to their field of study
M3.	Comprehend, evaluate and synthesize written information/data related to field of study
M4.	Explain and discuss verbally topics related to their field of study
M5.	Write a short news article reporting new information related to their field of study
M6.	Write a short report on a topic/issue related to their field of study

These ILOs aims to enable students to attain the following Programme Intended Learning Outcomes (PILOs):

PILOs	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6
P1. To demonstrate understanding of a range of subjects, fields, principles and approaches relevant to pharmacy technology	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
P2. To demonstrate understanding of theories, analytical approaches and practices that underpin pharmacy operations and management				✓	✓	✓
P3. To demonstrate understanding of major trends and issues related to pharmacy technology				✓	✓	✓
P4. To apply professional knowledge and skills to analyse, interpret and solve problems, challenges and risks in pharmacy practice					✓	✓
P5. To critically appraise and interpret scientific and clinical literature and apply evidence-based practice					✓	✓
P6. To acquire and apply research skills in pharmacy technology						
P7. To demonstrate effective communication and teamwork skills				✓	✓	✓
P8. To maintain professional and ethical standards in pharmacy practice and research						

MODULE SCHEDULE, COVERAGE AND STUDY LOAD

Week	Content Coverage	Contact Hours
1	Course introduction and oral discussion	2
2	Reading of medical related news + vocabulary expansion	2
3	News article writing structure and analysis	2
4	Grammar focus: narrative tenses, passive voice, sentence structure	2
5	**Writing Assessment 1** – News Article	2
6	News reports listening exercises, note taking and discussion + reported speech	2
7	Group discussion language and practice + pronunciation training	2
8	**Speaking Assessment 1** – Group discussion	2
9	Report writing structure + deconstruction of example reports	2
10	Gathering of data + vocabulary expansion	2

11	Grammar focus: paragraph structure and transitions	2
12	**Writing Assessment 2** – Research Report	2
13	Speaking exercises + pronunciation training	2
14	Presentation structure + public speaking exercises	2
15	**Speaking Assessment 2** – Presentation with Q&A	2

TEACHING AND LEARNING ACTIVITIES

In this learning module, students will work towards attaining the ILOs through the following teaching and learning activities:

Teaching and Learning Activities	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6
T1. Readings from internet sources	☐		☐	☐	☐	
T2. Listening exercises from internet sources		☐		☐		
T3. Model reports and writing examples	☐				☐	☐
T4. Task based activities integrating writing skills			☐	☐	☐	☐
T5. Task based activities integrating speaking skills				☐		☐

ATTENDANCE

Attendance requirements are governed by the Academic Regulations Governing Bachelor's Degree Programmes of the Macao Polytechnic University. Students who do not meet the attendance requirements for the learning module shall be awarded an 'F' grade.

ASSESSMENT

In this learning module, students are required to complete the following assessment activities:

Assessment Activities	Weighting (%)	ILOs to be Assessed
A1. Writing Assessment 1	20	M1, M3, M5
A2. Speaking Assessment 1	20	M1, M2, M4
A3. Writing Assignment 2	30	M1, M3, M6
A4. Speaking Assessment 2	30	M1, M2, M4

This learning module is graded on a 100 point scale, with 100 being the highest possible score and 50 being the passing score.

This course will have no final exam, so marks will be based on the continuous assessment. There will be no re-sit exam.

The assessment will be conducted following the University's Assessment Strategy (see www.mpu.edu.mo/teaching_learning/en/assessment_strategy.php). Passing this learning module indicates that students will have attained the ILOs of this learning module and thus acquired its credits.

MARKING SCHEME

Please find attached at the end of the course outline

REQUIRED READINGS

None

REFERENCES

None

STUDENT FEEDBACK

At the end of every semester, students are invited to provide feedback on the learning module and the teaching arrangement through questionnaires. Your feedback is valuable for instructors to enhance the module and its delivery for future students. The instructor and programme coordinators will consider all feedback and respond with actions formally in the annual programme review.

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY

The Macao Polytechnic University requires students to have full commitment to academic integrity when engaging in research and academic activities. Violations of academic integrity, which include but are not limited to plagiarism, collusion, fabrication or falsification, repeated use of assignments and cheating in examinations, are considered as serious academic offenses and may lead to disciplinary actions. Students should read the relevant regulations and guidelines in the Student Handbook which is distributed upon the admission into the University, a copy of which can also be found at www.mpu.edu.mo/student_handbook/.

ENGL4101: Rubrics for Group Discussions

Grade range	Percentage range	Content & Task achievement	Language	Delivery
A A-	93-100 (all features well represented) 88-92 (features present but weak)	- Illustrates a clear understanding topic of discussion - Asks original questions - Gives well-constructed, confident responses that are genuine and able to share personal opinions effectively	- A variety of grammar was used to communicate effectively. Student used extensive vocabulary and expressions from syllabus - Pronunciation was clear and inflection and expressions were used to enhance communication. Student acted as a facilitator, helping the conversation flow and develop	- Speaks at an effective rate and volume - no hesitation - good gestures /body language - good eye contact
B+ B B-	83-87 (all features strongly represented) 78-82 (most features well represented) 73-77 (features present but weak)	- Illustrates some general knowledge about the topic of discussion - Asks somewhat original questions - Gives somewhat well-constructed, confident responses that are mostly genuine and able to share personal opinions mostly effectively	- A few minor difficulties arose from not using appropriate grammar and vocabulary - No serious problems arose, but better pronunciation, inflection, and/or non-verbal communication could have made communication more efficient. Some minor difficulties maintaining the conversation were evident	- Speaks at an effective rate and volume - Occasional hesitation - Fairly natural gestures/body language - Occasional eye contact
C+ C	68-72 (all features strongly represented) 63-67	- Illustrates poor general knowledge about the topic of discussion - Asks generic questions	- Grammatical errors led to many minor difficulties or one major breakdown in communication - Some communication problems arose due to unclear pronunciation and/or lack of inflection and/or expression.	- Some degree of nervousness - Speaks too slowly or quickly, too loudly or softly

C-	(most features well represented) 58-62 (features present but weak)	- Gives generic poorly constructed, responses that don't sound genuine and has a limited ability to share personal opinions	Student may have been difficult to hear. Some effort was required to maintain the conversation. There may have been a few long pauses.	- Noticeable hesitations - Not enough eye contact/poor body language
D+ D	53-57 (all features strongly represented) 50-52 (most features well represented)	- Does not illustrate knowledge about the topic of discussion - Aks very few questions during discussion - Answers questions with "yes" or "no" and fails to elaborate or explain - Does not provide personal opinions about the topic	- Grammatical errors severely hampered communication - Pronunciation, inflection, and/or expression confused communication. Student may have been very difficult to hear. Was dependent on interviewer to keep the conversation going	- Speaker seems uncomfortable - Speaking much too slowly or quickly, too loudly or softly - Noticeable hesitations - Not enough eye contact/very poor body language
F	0-49	- Participation in discussion is not relevant to the topic or is non-existent	- Language was inappropriate or non-existent - There was a total breakdown in communication due to poor pronunciation and/or communication skills	- Speaker is unable to participate in the discussion coherently - Distracting non-verbal language - No eye contact/

澳門理工大學
Universidade Politécnica de Macau
Macao Polytechnic University

ENGL4102: Rubrics for Writing

Grade range	Marks range	Content and Task achievement	Effective and accurate use of grammar, lexis and mechanics
A A-	93-100 (all features well represented) 88-92 (features present but weak)	- Task is fully achieved using appropriate language - All points are fully developed - No irrelevant information - Evidence of a strong command of the text type - Style, tone and register are appropriate and effective - All task inputs effectively used - Strong evidence of planning	- An appropriate mix of simple, complex and compound sentences - Spelling is accurate except for rare/ specialized vocabulary - Punctuation/capitalization are almost entirely accurate - Effective & logical organization, paragraphing and referencing - An appropriate variety/range of language with little repetition - Advanced and basic structures (grammar / vocabulary) used accurately and effectively
B+ B B-	83-87 (all features strongly represented) 78-82 (most features well represented) 73-77 (features present but weak)	- Task is mostly achieved using generally appropriate language - Some points developed - Possible minor irrelevance - Text type effectively used - Possible minor problems with style, tone or register - Task inputs are used fairly effectively - Some evidence of planning	- A mix of simple and complex sentences, not always used accurately or appropriately - Mostly accurate spelling - Lapses in capitalization/punctuation - Text is organized and cohesive overall, possible referencing errors - Some variety of language/possible repetition of key vocabulary - Some errors in advanced structures, few if any basic errors. **Errors do not impede overall understanding**
C+ C	68-72 (all features strongly represented) 63-67 (most features well represented) 58-62	- Task is achieved overall, but language may be inappropriate - Points not fully developed and/ or major irrelevance - Evidence of a limited command of the text type - Some inappropriate use of tone, style or register - Task input poorly used or slightly misunderstood/misused	- Relies mostly on simple sentences - Simple words may be misspelled - Punctuation/capitalization is often inaccurate - Text is minimally paragraphed with weak organization/cohesion and poor linking/referencing - Limited language variety

C-	(features present but weak)	- Little evidence of planning	- Limited command of advanced structures and some basic **errors which impede understanding** despite overall coherence
D+ D	53-57 (all features strongly represented) 50-52 (most features well represented)	- Task is achieved only at the most basic level and uses often inappropriate language - Poor development and/or tangential irrelevance - Weak command of text type - Tone / style / register often inappropriately used - Task input not used at all or misunderstood/misused - No evidence of planning	- No complex sentences used - Spelling is often inaccurate - Punctuation/capitalization seem random / are not reliably accurate - Text is poorly paragraphed/ organized and/or lacks cohesion - Weak command of advanced structures - Frequent repetition/ no variety - **Basic errors which could impede communication**
F	0-49	-Task **not** understood/ achieved: unrelated/ plagiarized.	- Grossly inaccurate / incoherent / completely copied

ENGL4101: Rubrics for Presentations

Grade Range	Percentage Range	Content & Task achievement	Language	Delivery
A A-	93-100 (all features well represented) 88-92 (features present but weak)	- Objective of the presentation is easily identified - Content fully supports objective - Presentation organized according to audience's needs - Clear links between ideas - Strong introduction and conclusion	- A variety of grammar was used to communicate effectively - Student used extensive vocabulary and expressions from syllabus - Pronunciation was clear and inflection and expressions were used to enhance communication	- Fluent and poised language - Speaks at an effective rate and volume - No hesitation - Good gestures and posture - Good eye contact with audience
B+ B B-	83-87 (all features Strongly represented) 78-82 (most features well represented) 73-77 (features present but weak)	- Objective is clear - Content supports objective. - Clear organization - Relationship between ideas identifiable - Introduction and conclusion presented	- A few minor difficulties arose from not using appropriate grammar and vocabulary - No serious problems arose, but better pronunciation, inflection, and/or non-verbal communication could have made communication more efficient. Some minor difficulties maintaining the convers	- Fluent language - Speaks at an effective rate and volume - Occasional hesitation - Fairly natural gestures and posture - Occasional eye contact
C+ C	68-72 (all features Strongly represented) 63-67 (most features well represented) 58-62	- Objective is not immediately clear; some additional content needed - Organization is weakened by poor transitions or occasional digressions - Introduction and/or conclusion does not	- Grammatical errors led to many minor difficulties or one major breakdown in communication - Some communication problems arose due to unclear pronunciation and/or lack of inflection and/or expression	- Some degree of nervousness - Speaks too slowly or quickly, too loudly or softly - Noticeable hesitations - Not enough eye contact

C-	(features present but weak)	accomplish its intended function.		
D+ D	53-57 (all features Strongly represented) 50-52 (most features well represented)	- Objective is difficult to determine, more content needed - Organization is confusing/unclear - Weak introduction or conclusion	- Grammatical errors severely hampered communication - Pronunciation, inflection, and/or expression confused communication	- Speaker seems uncomfortable - Speaking much too slowly or quickly, too loudly or softly; - Noticeable hesitations - Not enough eye contact
F	0-49	- Objective cannot be determined - Poor organization - Little connection between ideas.	- Language was inappropriate or non-existent - There was a total breakdown in communication due to poor pronunciation and/or communication skills	- Speaker is unable to deliver presentation coherently. - Distracting non-verbals - No eye contact